



## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO.  | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.     | CONFIRMATION NO. |  |
|--|-------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 09/773,090   | 01/31/2001  | Abigail Jane Sellen  | 30003278                | 6082             |  |
| 7590 05/12/2005  |             |                      | EXAMINER                |                  |  |
| Paul Greeley<br>c/o Ohlandt, Greeley, Ruggiero & Perle |             |                      | NGUYEN, CHAU T          |                  |  |
| Suite 903  |             |                      | ART UNIT                | PAPER NUMBER     |  |
| One Landmark Square                                    |             |                      | 2176                    |                  |  |
| Stamford, CT 06901                                     |             |                      | DATE MAILED: 05/12/2005 |                  |  |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s)  |
|-----------------|---------------|
| 09/773,090      | SELLEN ET AL. |
| Examiner        | Art Unit      |
| Chau Nguyen     | 2176          |

|  | Chau Nguyen  | 2176   |                                       |
|--|--|--|---------------------------------------|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appear  | ars on the cover sheet with the c  | correspondence add                                       | ress                                  |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>22 April 2005</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPI  | LICATION IN CONDITION FOR AL   | LOWANCE.   |                                       |
| 1.  The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance time periods:  | ving replies: (1) an amendment, aft<br>tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (   | fidavit, or other evider<br>compliance with 37 C         | rce, which<br>FR 41.31; or (3)        |
| a) The period for reply expires 2 months from the mailing date   | of the final rejection.  |  |                                       |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A<br>no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la<br>Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check, either box (a) or (   | ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailin   | g date of the final rejection                            | on.                                   |
| TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 70   | 06.07(f).  | E FINST NEFET WAS F                                      | ILED WITHIN                           |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.3 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig than three months after the mailing da | of the fee. The appropri<br>inally set in the final Offi | ate extension fee ce action; or (2) a |
| <ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS</li> </ol>  | nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to  | avoid dismissal of th                                    | ns of the date of<br>e appeal. Since  |
| _  | but prior to the date of filing a brief  | will not be entered by                                   | 0001150                               |
| 3.  The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belowed).  | nsideration and/or search (see NO<br>w);   | TE below);   |                                       |
| <ul><li>(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bet<br/> appeal; and/or</li></ul>  |  |  | the issues for                        |
| (d) They present additional claims without canceling a   |  |  |                                       |
| NOTE: the proposed amendments are not deemed maintained the final rejection over Karidis et al, Ka   |  |  |                                       |
| 1.116 and 41.33(a)).   | 04 O   |  | (DTOL 204)                            |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12   |  | impliant Amendment                                       | (PTOL-324).                           |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):  |  | tional . Clad amandon                                    | mt composition the                    |
| <ol> <li>Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).</li> </ol>  | -  | -  |                                       |
| 7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:  |  | Il be entered and an e                                   | explanation of                        |
| Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:  |  |  |                                       |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .<br>Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:   |  |  |                                       |
| AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE  |  |  |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).</li> </ol>  |  |  |                                       |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing<br/>entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to o<br/>showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary</li> </ol>   | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appe<br>y and was not earlier presented. S   | al and/or appellant fai<br>see 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1         | ls to provide a<br>l).                |
| <ol> <li>The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation<br/>REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER</li> </ol>   | n of the status of the claims after e  | ntry is below or attach                                  | ned.                                  |
| 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu   | t does NOT place the application in  | n condition for allowar                                  | nce because:                          |
| 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (   | (PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N  | No(s)  |                                       |
|  |  | The  |                                       |
|  | 911  | PERVISORY DIST   | EILD                                  |
|  | 50   | PERVISORY PATE   | AT EXAMINER                           |